ERRATA

With respect to Version 01 of the report "Reconsidering the Need for Site C" issued on April 19, 2017, the authors acknowledge the following errata:

Section 1.3; p.2	Our analysis finds that the decision to build Site C was based on a strikingly high load forecast made by BC Hydro in 2013, which was (a) notably higher than similar estimates made before or since (on the order of 58,000 GWh/year) and (b)
Section 1.3; p.2	Section 3 also analyzes BC Hydro's load forecasting history over the past three decades, and finds that 85% of the 26 load forecasts data points prepared by BC Hydro
Section 3.2.2; p.15	Since 1981, BC Hydro has prepared 36 load forecasts, including a total of 553 point estimates of future energy requirements in specific future years. If BC Hydro's approach were statistically unbiased, then half of these projections would be overestimates and half underestimates. BC Hydro's data reveal, though, that 85% of these data point projections were overestimates.
Section 4.5; p.71	can be managed from existing contingency <u>budgets totaling \$1.04 billion</u> , <u>from which \$285 million had been expended (as of December 31, 2016)</u> ;
Section 5.4.1; p.93	In terms of future wind resource costs, BC Hydro believes that the long-term adjusted unit energy costs of onshore wind will
Section 6.3.7; p.141	However, the effect of low market prices is not as significant as the effect of a 25% cost overrun
Section 6.3.8; p.142	Table 36: Cost implications – low high market prices (model results)
Section 6.6; p.150	Table 42: Cancel Site C with low high market prices [sixth column]